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## Highlights from TIIMSS 20II: South Africa

## Presentation - three foci

- Methodological:
- Describing the kind of data collected (instruments \& sample)
- Describing how the data got collected (procedures)
- Empirical:
- A brief overview of key findings (learner achievement and background; also trends since 1995)
- Policy relevance:
- Utility of data for planning \& programme interventions

TIMSS
Note: Initial analysis was univariate; further analysis will focus with more rigour on factors impacting educational performanee based on multivariate modelling

# Rationale behind TIMSS participation 

## Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS 2011)

- TIMSS is an opportunity to assess and benchmark South African mathematics and science performance in an international study. TIMSS has been conducted every four years since 1995. In TIMSS 2011, 45 countries participated at the Grade 8/9 level.
- It is important to measure learner achievement through national, regional and international measures. These studies provide information about the well-being of our educational system; so that we could better manage and improve it.
- In August 2011, the HSRC administered the TIMSS 2011 mathematics and science instruments in 285 schools to 11969 Grade 9 learners.
- We had conducted previous TIMSS in 1995, 1999 and 2002 and have comparable data to monitor system-level trends in a global context.


## Rationale, and its relevance for policy

- 2011 saw the introduction of new policy-relevant questionnaire scales (worldwide)
- Striving to collect information about background issues that are increasingly linked in research and the literature to enhanced learner achievement - so that countries can know where they stand and plan how they rectify non-conducive circumstances
- Instruments comprised learner, teacher and school background questionnaires
- Items within these cover home support and school environment for teaching and learning
- Robust learner assessment covers pre-agreed consensus curriculum to close the "theory-of-change" circle


## TIMSS methodology

Making sense / meaning of the data:

- instruments and sampling

Sheer effort of the undertaking:

- research procedures


## Methodology - General

- TIMSS is led by the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA)
- Technical data management and processing (requirements and implementation) are supervised by the Data Processing Centre (DPC) in Hamburg
- Stats Canada is responsible for all sampling on the basis of sample frames provided by countries well in advance
- All country participants have to follow very strict guidelines to ensure that samples are nationally representative
- Consensus and collaboration characterise incremental development of aspects of methodology, instruments, curriculum coverage, etc


## Methodology - sampling

- The general aims of the TIMSS sampling design are:
- To ensure that the data provides accurate and efficient estimates of the South African Grade 9 school population;
- To provide accurate measures of change in the student achievement from cycle to cycle.
- Hence TIMSS follows a 2-stage stratified cluster sampling design. With schools sampled at the first stage and intact classes at the second stage of sampling.
- Variables used for stratification in 2002 and 2011 were:
- Province
- Language of instruction in a school
- School type (Public, Independent and Dinaledi)


## Methodology - Instruments

- Instruments follow a matrix design:
- Items are spread over 14 unique booklets
- Structured as 14 blocks of items, each appearing twice
- Each item block has 10 to 14 items
- Two Maths and two Science blocks appear per booklet
- The above ensures enough items to cover the curriculum
- Assessment frameworks drive item development (between administrations) and curriculum coverage
- Content and cognitive domains are both accounted for
- The latter covers knowing, applying and reasoning
- Item Response Theory is used for weighting items (for comparability between countries \& over years)


## Methodology - Procedures

- Country research teams have an enormous task:
- Securing school permission / participation
- Obtaining lists of classes and class lists
- Generating the country data structure (through unique participant ID and linkage numbers)
- Developing administration and record forms
- Assigning booklets and questionnaires uniquely per learner
- Adjusting \& translating materials for the country ( $\leftarrow$ verified)
- Laying out and producing booklets, questionnaires, forms
- Managing all logistics of data collection
- Scoring the items; capturing and cleaning the data
- Infrastructure needs (calls, boxes and records)


## Key empirical findings from TIMSS

## Key messages from TIMSS 2011

- South African mathematics and science national average scores, although still low, have improved from 2002
- The difference between the highest and lowest scores in 2002 to 2011 has decreased
- The greatest improvements in scores are observed at the lowest end, from the lowest performing schools and provinces, and in schools formerly designated for Africans
- The top end has not shown any major improvements and the former House of Assembly/ Model C and Independent schools perform at similar levels, but lower than the middle (Centrepoint) score


## 1. Distribution of Mathematics and Science achievement in participating countries



- For mathematics, Asian countries - Korea, Singapore, Chinese Taipei, Hong Kong and Japan - are top performers
- South Africa, Botswana and Honduras conducted the study at Grade 9 level
- South African performance is still at the low end, but has improved since 2002. In 2002 South Africa scored 285 points at the grade 9 level. In 2011 the score was 352.
- The top South African performers approached the average performance of the top performing countries


## 2. Trends in Mathematics and Science achievement in South Africa: 1995, 1999, 2002 and 2011



## Trends between TIMSS 1999 and TIMSS 2011

- For TIMSS 1995, 1999 and 2002, the average score remained the same - perhaps due to the structural and educational changes in the country since 1994
- Between TIMSS 2002 to 2011, there was an increase in achievement scores
- Score distribution: the scores at the lower end increased
- The IEA estimates that within a 4 -year cycle a country could expect up to 40 points improvement -i.e., improve by one grade level
- South African scores improved by around 60 points a general improvement by 1.5 grade levels between 2002 and 2011


## 3. Provinces: Achievement and Change in Achievement between 2002 to 2011

## Change in achievement by province between 2002 to 2011



## Provincial performance

- All provinces, except Western Cape, increased their mathematics and science scores between 2002 and 2011
- The changes in the Western Cape and Northern Cape scores are not statistically significant
- The order of greatest improvement in mathematics scores is: Limpopo (1.33), Gauteng (1.31), Eastern Cape (1.27), NorthWest (1.26), Free State (1.24), KwaZuluNatal (1.23), Mpumalanga (1.2), Northern Cape (1.07), Western Cape (0.99)
- In 2002, the difference between highest and lowest performing province was 170 points. This decreased to 86 in 2011 - moving towards equitable outcomes


# 4. School Type: Achievement and change in achievement 

### 4.1 Public and Independent Schools

- In the study we oversampled the group of independent schools so that we could report on performance in public and independent schools
- Independent schools scored higher than public schools. For mathematics:

Public schools:
Independent schools:

348 points
474 points

### 4.2 Performance by the poverty index of schools



### 4.2 Performance by age



### 4.3. Former racial departments:

 Changes between 2002 to 2011
4.3. Former racial departments: Changes between 2002 to 2011


## Performance by School Type

- The greatest improvement in average achievement scores, between 2002 and 2011, was in former African schools
- The Independent Schools and former House of Assembly schools perform at similar levels
- Former HoA, Quintile 5 and Independent schools achieve average scores below the Centrepoint/ middle score of 500


## 5. Performance at International Benchmarks

Describe what learners know and can do

Helps identify learners that can perform at high skills level

## Performance at scores above 400: access to S\&T careers and indicator of quality

|  | Advanced <br> Benchmark <br> $(\%)$ <br> $>625$ | High <br> Benchmark <br> $(\%)$ <br> $>550$ | Intermediate <br> Benchmark (\%) <br> 475 | Low <br> Benchmark <br> $(\%)$ <br> $>400$ | Less than <br> 400 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| points |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

## Performance at scores above 400: access to S\&T careers and indicator of quality

$\left.$|  | Advanced <br> Benchmark <br> $(\%)$ | High <br> $>625$ | Benchmark <br> $(\%)$ <br> $>550$ | Intermediate <br> Benchmark (\%) <br> 475 | Low <br> Benchmark <br> $(\%)$ <br> $>400$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Less than |
| :---: |
| 400 |
| points | \right\rvert\,

## 6. CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION : Using Learner, Teacher, School \& Curriculum Questionnaires

Provide insights into factors that are positively related to academic success

### 6.1 Curriculum and Teachers

- For the period 2002 to 2011, the Revised National Curriculum Statement guided classroom instruction
- There is over $90 \%$ overlap between RNCS \& the TIMSS curriculum
- $60 \%$ of mathematics learners and $53 \%$ of science learners were taught by teachers with a degree. Internationally close to $90 \%$ of teachers have a degree qualification.
- Around $40 \%$ of teachers indicated they were 'satisfied with their profession'
Internationally $47 \%$ of teachers were 'satisfied with their profession'


### 6.2. School Climate and Classroom Resources

- School safety is an issue of concern:
$>41 \%$ of learners attended schools where Principals identified discipline and safety as a moderate problem. Internationally this was $18 \%$.
> $75 \%$ of South African learners reported some sort of bullying compared to $41 \%$ internationally
- Resources to schools have been improving - with around $10 \%$ of Mathematics and Science learners being affected 'a lot' by lack of resources


### 6.3 Home: parental education \& language

- There is a strong relationship between achievement and parental education:
$>$ TIMSS 2011, 19\% of parents completed a university degree
$>$ In 2002, $11 \%$ of parents completed a university degree
$>$ Internationally one third $(32 \%)$ of learners have one parent with a university education
- In TIMSS 2011, 26\% (a quarter) of learners reported they 'always or almost always' spoke the language of test at home and 9\% 'never' did
$>$ Internationally $79 \%$ of learners reported they 'always or almost always' spoke the language of the test at home


## Utility of the study and policy

## Some implications related to context

## School and home resources

- Higher levels of resources are linked to better educational outcomes
- Learning is more difficult in learning environments where discipline, absenteeism, safety/fear of injury or loss of personal property is a problem
- In EC, MP, NC, NW all learners attended schools with discipline and safety problems. Three in every four learners ( $75 \%$ ) in SA experience bullying weekly/monthly - the international figure is $41 \%$ of learners


## Curriculum

- There was curriculum stability for the trend period (10 yrs)
- Schools / teachers should know and adhere to national curriculum - curriculum challenges continue
- Curriculum coverage and learner achievement do ngf necessarily overlap


## Curriculum coverage in percentages

|  | IEA TCMA <br> intended | SA Intended | SA reported <br> implemented |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 100 | 94 | 72 |
| Science | 100 | 90 | 62 |

Task: to ensure optimal alignment between country \& international assessment frameworks and curriculum models

The latter (for IEA) concerns: (i) intended $\rightarrow$ (ii) implemented $\rightarrow$ (iii) attained
(i) National, social and educational context
(ii) School, teacher and classroom context
(iii) Student outcomes and characteristics

Source: TIMSS 2007 Assessment Frameworks, p. 5

## Some implications related to context

## Teachers

- Findings indicative rather than representative of SA teachers; learners remain the unit of analysis
- South African TIMSS 2011 teachers are: older, experienced, wellqualified, well-prepared, confident, satisfied
- Audit of teacher qualifications: at national level, or for a representative sample, is required
- Emphasise combination of subject \& subj. pedagogy/didactics training


## Importance of language for achievement

- (See next three slides)


## THE IMPORTANCE OF LANGUAGE

- Assumptions:
- Learners move from "learning to read" to "reading to learn"
- Text-rich, language-dependent background and activity enhance conceptual, cognitive and academic proficiency among learners
- TIMSS data allow for analysis of the association between learner achievement and contextual language factors/conditions closely related to writing, reading and speaking (within the three categories shown in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ table to come)


## Home / test language equivalence



TIMSS

$$
\text { equivalent TLequivalent not equivalent } \begin{gathered}
\text { TL not } \\
\text { equivalent }
\end{gathered}
$$

## ... Language vulnerability of Science

| Factor affecting lo-hi score differences in the next column/s | Maths | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Demographic and structural conditions |  |  |
| Home and instructional/test language equivalence | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 2 0}}$ |
| Perceived learner difficulty understanding spoken school lang. | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ |
| Female \& male parent/caregiver qualification level | $\mathbf{8 5 ~ \& ~ 9 0}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 1 8 ~ \& ~ 1 2 2 ~}}$ |

## II. Access to language opportunities and support

| Schools sending extra learning materials home | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 45 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Exposure to writing through homework (frequency ; volume) <br> $(3+$ times per week; 16-30 to 31-60 minutes in volume) | 35 (teachers) <br> 40 (learners) | Erratic |
| Frequency of speaking the test language at home | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ |
| Number of books at home | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |

## III. Resource constraints and limitations

| Effect of textbook/learning material shortages on instruction | 107 | $\underline{135}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Resources: - software use in class <br> - computers, Internet, TV\#, dictionaries* at home | 46 (39*) | 66 (76\#) |

## 7. Towards Equity \& Excellence

- Continue investment in schools and households for the poorest and continue to increase these scores so that we move to a narrower distribution of scores
- Need to challenge and support traditionally more resourced schools, and we need to re-affirm the agenda for excellence and high skills \& high performance
- As one aspect of a national assessment regime (ANA, National Senior Certificate, ongoing, etc) all the complementary information enables comprehensive investigation and improvement

HSRC
Human Sciences
Research Council

## Benefits of participation

- Knowing if we're improving as country over time, or not
- Knowing where we stand compared to international best practice, but also similarly resourced countries - indicators of the well-being of our schooling system
- High confidence in rigour of procedures and techniques, and data reliability / validity (state of the art; independent view)
- Nuanced data/ findings by province, test language, type of school, item, content and cognitive domains of each item
- Continuous pool of released items / examplars
- Greater understanding of contributing contextual factors
- Opportunity for critical look at ourselves
- More engagement with findings towards remedies


## Disadvantages related to participation

- Not having individual school, teacher or learner information as an "assessment for learning" outcome (who struggles, and what they are struggling with)
- Some lack of overlap of intended curriculum for Science
- Not all countries serve as good comparisons for S.A. (size of system, resources, curriculum overlap*)
- Few officials and researchers access \& benefit from data
*Note: IEA sophistication enables comparisons between countries should we have done the same item pools


## In closing (1)

- Historically, human and social science research focused strongly on quantitative techniques and the development of sophisticated statistical skills (e.g., in psychometrics; at universities and science councils) [1960s to 1990]
- That got eroded during recent shift towards qualitative techniques, and diminished large-scale studies, data collection and modelling [1990 to 2010]
- Only to be revived lately - with return of strong need for rebuilding the lost proficiencies [2010 $\rightarrow$ ]


## In closing (2)

- The foregoing strongly calls upon post-graduate students to step forward and undertake such training and work
- Dissemination and engagement with the study, its items and findings should be used to leverage accountability, especially through parent- and community-driven action
- Findings on poor achievement per content and cognitive domains call for enhanced curriculum coverage and teache training (subject pedagogy in addition to subject content)
- National Development Plan sets achievement targets: - TIMSS 2023: 420 (up from 264) (Ch 9; p 276)
- by 2030: 500 (up 10 places in rank)


## 8. Future............?

Projected scores

- Participation in TIMSS 2015
- Work towards improvement of both lower and top end of performance
- With the effort and commitment of schools, teachers and learners and support from the educational departments we should set the target for an improvement by 30 points p.a. to reach a score of 382 in 2015 with $40 \%$ of learners scoring above 400 points

| YEAR | Grade 9 <br> Mathematics scores |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2023 | 442 |
| 2019 | 412 |
| 2015 | 382 |
| 2011 | 352 |
| 2002 | 285 |
| 1999 | 296 (extrapolated) |
| 1995 | 294 (extrapolated) |

