

HETEROSEXUAL MEN AND PARENTHOOD DECISION-MAKING IN SOUTH AFRICA: ATTENDING TO THE INVISIBLE NORM

Dr Tracy Morison

Human Sciences Research Council & Rhodes University, South Africa TMorison@hsrc.ac.za





A heteronormative blind-spot

- the invisible norm = fertile, white heterosexual men
- normalcy & naturalness of childbearing in the heterosexual life course
- Research concentrates on those who do not fit into the normative category of married heterosexuality
- We do not know about
 - the decision-making processes of heterosexuals
 - what role each partner plays in this process
 - the part played by fertile, heterosexual men.



A myopic focus on problem men

- men considered of necessity, because of negative effects on women's sexual & reproductive choices
- narrow view persists, despite attempts to recognise men's constructive engagement
- research concentrates on (so-called) risky, problematic or disadvantaged, 'Black' men
- 'White' economically-advantaged men = invisible norm
- inadvertently reiterates Whiteness as invisible norm

A narrative-discursive analysis of male involvement in parenthood decision-making among 'white' heterosexuals

Cohort	Reproductive status	Gender	Ave age (years)	No. of participants
1. > 40 yrs.		Men	46.5	6
'past childbearing'	Parents	Women	49.1	6
2. 21 – 30 yrs.	Childfree	Men	24	7
		Women	24.4	5
Total (n =)				24

Data analysis: The Narrative-discursive method

- synthesis of discourse analysis + narrative theory, influenced by discursive psychology
- How speakers:
 - draw on discourses/discursive resources & the positioning within these
 - mobilise discursive resources
- Positioning analysis → Interactive negotiation of meaning & power
- Rhetorical work:
 - discursive tactics > "interactive moves" to deal with interactive 'trouble'
 - rhetorical or positioning strategies
- 'Trouble' → Negative/undesirable positions

Findings: a troublesome topic

• 3 main sources of trouble:



(1)
'An unusual conversational move'



Changing gender norms – The 'new' father

(2)

Choice T

Parenthood = choice vs. chance

(3)

Findings: repairing trouble by veiling silences

- avoidance, denial, deflection, reframing, and intellectualizing
- veiled silences → do not know how else to respond
- "results in a deflection that, although often not intentional, is purposeful nonetheless" (Mazzei, 2004: 30)

Redirection Reframing Repairing trouble

reframing

- 'automatic childbearing'
 - having children happens spontaneously after marriage
 - No/very little conscious deliberation/overt discussion
- two central & inter-connected discursive resources
 - romance/love script
 - 2. canonical couple narrative
- socio-cultural norms (about passion, romantic love, gender, and 'normal' heterosexual adult development) make it possible for participants to
 - negotiate alternative positive positions
 - Reframe passive/non-planning positively & "save face"
- Spontaneous, romantic, 'natural' scenario vs. calculated, cold, scientific scenario > reinforce automatic childbearing
- male involvement discursively shifted to the background

Meaning and implications of veiled silences

- suggest no specific role for men
- automatic childbearing key discursive resource for veiling silences and obscuring gender roles
- Family planning and reproduction as a female issue & responsibility
- Limitation of women's autonomy

Acknowledgments

Thanks to

Rhodes University

&

National Research Foundation

for funding.

Supervisor: Prof Catriona Macleod, Rhodes University