Monitoring and Evaluation of DANIDA Development (SESD) Programme Support to Education and Skills

Second Formative Impact assessment

May 2004

FET Provincial Directorates

HSRC RESEARCH OUTPUTS

1418

HSRC

BADROODIEN, A. ZOOH, Presentation at the SESO programme evaluation: A Inid-term dissemination seminar, pretoria. Human Science Research Council. 23 July

Key points

- ☐ Separate reports for three provinces
- All directorates have made progress
- Directorates capable of playing important role in FET College sector
- ☐ Staff development issues remain priority in all provinces
- All directorates torn by how much autonomy to effectively give colleges in order to lead growth of sector

Linked to 5 concerns

- Capacity needs within directorates need to be addressed
- establishments (absorption and placement process) for colleges. This applies across the three provinces Directorates need to finalise templates for staff
- Relationships between schools and colleges need to be curriculum concerns resolved, to clarify or free up resources and resolve
- planning processes FETMIS systems need urgent attention- vital for strategic
- Need for provinces to have a quality assurance setup to oversee developments and growth at college level

WESTERN CAPE

trends in country	Stable and experienced directorate- linked to previous

- Revisioning of role of directorate with regard to leadership and co-ordination role
- (CCC)Taken lead in terms of joint curriculum development
- Taken lead in finding ways of making NQF-aligned programs fit into existing structure
- Have set up procedures to overcome system blockages
- Helped by iKapa Elihumaya Fund -provincial way of dealing with infrastructural and redress issues
- But Staffing and infrastructure of colleges remains a problem

NORTH WEST

- FET directorate underfunded and underresourced with regard to infrastructure
- No FET Director and limited number of staff in directorate until now
- planning purposes Lack of adequate FETMIS systems for strategic
- Articulation between colleges and schools still unclear
- Concern about post structures and placements at college level

KWAZULU NATAL

- Strong commitment and capacity at directorate level
- Significant progress in facilitating learnership development
- Constrained by capacity issues within colleges

	Kwazulu NAtal
	Concern about devolution of budgets to colleges and impact of ability of directorate to lead- Focus to shift to lower ranked staff in year 2 of progamme
	Best practice forums, annual conference, Marketing units
	Big attempt to avoid SESD programme being isolated from other 7 colleges
	LPUs present in 8 of 9 colleges. Because SESD funds staff members, most progress in 2 colleges
	LSUs in 2 colleges. Roll out in 7 others affected by staff establishment issue and funding
	LPUs developing research capacity on local labour markets and has impacted on growth in collaboration with SETAs, more placement agreements with industry
0	Directorate big on delivering programes of relevance to community development is big focus
-	Envisaged that each college will offer 30% NATED, 30% learnerships, 20% skills programs, 20% in partnership with schools and HE

institutions

}	
	NORTH WEST
	PMU fully functional, but too little staff to fulfill too many functions
	Training for management too technical and not focused on overall provincial needs
	Low levels of artisan training capacity has required intervention at directorate level
	Workshops remain deficient or don't exist
	Training needed on how to develop college strategic plans and how to verify progress of and commonality across plans
	Colleges not assisted with guidelines re: how to develop learnerships, industry partnerships and marketing strategies. Too much reliance on colleges making themselves viable
	Poverty-stricken environments, lack of funds, previous setup all conspire to work against effective management of sector
	Support to sector is changing with visits to other provinces
٥	College councils play vital role in running colleges